RULE 13 ELECTRONIC ~~LOCAL~~ COURT RECORD SYSTEMS

(a) An "electronic court record system" is any electronic court records technology system that is the source of statewide court data identified in the JIS Data Standards for Alternative Electronic Court Record Systems ("JIS Data Standards").

(b) The JISC and AOC are directed to focus on implementing and supporting ~~statewide~~ solutions for every court in the State of Washington. The JISC and AOC set priorities through the Information Technology Governance (ITG) process.

So long as the proposed system meets the JIS standard requirements and is able to provide data to the Enterprise Data Repository (EDR) or Judicial Information System (JIS) as set forth below), a court may implement and maintain a local electronic court record system.

(c)

~~(c)~~(d) Written notice of the proposed acquisition or development ~~and the court's detailed plan to comply with the JIS Data Standards~~ must be provided to the JISC and the AOC when initiating ~~at least six months before beginning~~ a procurement process for the purchase or acquisition of software or services. At the time of providing the written notice, ~~T~~the court, ~~the local funding authority,~~ and the court's technology service provider, if any, ~~-~~must agree in writing that they understand their obligations ~~and will are~~ to comply with the JIS Data Standards and provide a system that is capable of providing data,to the EDR or, if not so capable, they must continue to do manual data entry into JIS or provide data by the court's current methodology to the JIS.

(e) Courts that choose to implement a local electronic court record system~~s~~ must either provide statewide data required in the JIS Data Standards through the Enterprise Data Repository (EDR), by duplicate data entry into JIS ~~systems.~~, or by the court's current method of providing data to the JIS.

~~(d)~~ (f) ~~(i)~~ It is the responsibility of AOC to provide access to the EDR within one year of procurement or at the time of go-live, whichever is later. If go-live is sooner than 1 year from procurement and the EDR is not available to the court implementing a local electronic court record system, the court must provide data by the court's current methodology, or duplicate data entry into JIS until the expiration of 1 year from procurement. ~~of a court's electronic record system go-live implementation date.~~

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Underline

Formatted: Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Underline

Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Underline

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Underline

~~(e)~~ (g) ~~If a court's request to implement an electronic court record system is approved by the JISC, any implementation or support activities by AOC are still subject to resource availability and scheduling based on JISC and AOC priorities. If state and local timelines do not align, the court must provide all data required under the statewide JIS data standards by duplicate data entry into the statewide JIS systems until a data exchange is fully tested and operational or the court must adjust its implementation schedule.~~ If the EDR is not available within 1 year of procurement or on the date of go-live, whichever is later, AOC shall provide funding for the court for the cost of duplicate data entry for as long as it is necessary.

~~A court that does not comply with the terms of this rule may not receive equipment, software, or supplies, monies, or services funded in whole or in part from any funds appropriated to AOC, during the period of non-compliance.~~

(h) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, any court that commenced with an alternative solution in accordance with JISCR 13, shall continue to be governed by the provisions in effect at the time they entered the contract with their technology service provider.

Comment

Absent any other memorandum or agreement, parties further understand paragraph (d) to require the Administrative Office of the Courts to answer questions of interfaces, support mapping to reference tables, move and test court data to EDR, and adjust and repair EDR as needed to allow a court's electronic record system to become operational. Parties further understand individual courts are responsible for local programming in local systems to interface, mapping data to reference table, and testing local systems to ensure data enters the EDR. Individual courts shall further bear all costs associated with programming and testing local systems to interface with the EDR. Any disputes associated with integrating a local case management system with the EDR shall be resolved through an independent dispute resolution process.

~~(f)~~

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Underline

Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Underline

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Underline

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, … + Start at: 8 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.5" + Indent at: 0.75"

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Underline

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Underline

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Underline

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Underline

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Underline

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.75", No bullets or numbering